arXiv:1405.6342v1 [math.PR] 24 May 2014

A REMARK ON THE ASYMPTOTIC TIGHTNESS IN $\ell^\infty([a,b])$

GANE SAMB LO

Dedicated to the memory of Souleymane Niang, the Senegal Mathematics School founder

ABSTRACT. In this note, we extend a simple criteria for uniform tightness in $C(0,1)$, the class of real continuous functions defined on $(0,1)$, given in Theorem 8.3 of Billingsley to the asymptotic tightness in $\ell^{+\infty}([a,b])$, the class of real bounded functions defined on $[a,b]$ with $a<b$, in the lines of Theorems 1.5.6 and 1.5.7 in van der vaart and Wellner.

1. Introduction

In this note, we adapt a powerful tool of Billingsley [1]. In order to describe that tool, we are going to make a number of definitions and reminders.

1.1. **Uniform tightness.** Let $X_1, X_2, ..$ be sequence of random elements with values in $S_1 = C(0,1)$. This sequence is said to be tight, that is the sequence of probability measures $(P_{X_n})_{n\geq 0}$ is tight, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, , there exists a compact set K_ε of S_1 such that

$$\sup P(X_n \in K_\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon.$$

He proved :

Theorem 1.1. *The sequence of the probability measures $(P_{X_n})_{n\geq 0}$ is tight in S_1 if and only if*

(i) *The sequence of the probability measures $(\mathbb{P}_{X_n(0)})_{n\geq 0}$ is tight in $\mathbb{R}$ and*

(ii) *The sequence X_n is uniformly equicontinuous in probability, that is, for any $\eta > 0$,*

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0}\sup_{n\geq 1}\mathbb{P}(\sup_{|s-t|<\delta,(s,t)\in(0,1)^2}|X_n(s)-X_n(t)|>\eta)=0. \tag{1.1}$$

Since (1.1) is not easy to in general, a less strong criteria is generally used. It is only a sufficient condition for tightness. It is exposed in Billingsley as follows

Theorem 1.2. *If the two assertions hold*

(i) *The sequence of the probabiliy measures* $(\mathbb{P}_{X_n(0)})_{n\geq 0}$ *is tight in* $\mathbb{R}$,

(iii) *For or any* $\eta > 0$,

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0}\sup_{s\in(0,1)}\sup_{n\geq 1}\frac{1}{\delta}P(\sup_{s-\delta<t<s+\delta,t\in(0,1)}|X_n(s)-X_n(t)|>\eta)=0.$$

then sequence of the probability measures $(\mathbb{P}_{X_n})_{n\geq 0}$ *is tight.*

1.2. **Asymptotic tightness for non measurable random applications.** Now consider the more general set $S_2 = \ell^\infty([a,b]), a < b$, the set of all bounded and real functions defined on $[a,b]$, equipped with the supremum norm $\|x\| = \sup_{t\in[a,b]}|x(t)|$. Let $(X_\alpha)_{\alpha\in D}$ be a field of applications with values in S_2 and such that each X_α is defined on a probability space $(\Omega_\alpha, \mathcal{A}_\alpha, \mathbb{P}_\alpha)$ and is not necessarily measurable, where D is a well-directed set. It is said that the field $(X_\alpha)_{\alpha\in D}$ is asymptotically measurable iff for any real, bounded and continuous$f$ defined on S_2 (denoted $f \in \mathrm{C}_b(S)$),

$$\lim_\alpha E^* f(X_\alpha) - E_* f(X_\alpha) = 0.$$

It is asymptotically tight iff for any $\varepsilon > 0$, , there exists a compact set K_ε such that for any $\delta > 0$

$$\liminf_\alpha \sup P_*(X_n \in K_\varepsilon^\delta) \geq 1-\varepsilon,$$

where $K_\varepsilon^\delta = \{y \in S_2, \|x - K_\varepsilon\| < \delta\}$ is the δ-enlargement of K_ε.
The following characterization of asymptotic tightness in $\ell^\infty([a,b])$ is given in [2] as follows.

Theorem 1.3. *The field* $(X_\alpha)_{\alpha\in D}$ *is asymptotically tight iff*

(iv) *Each margin* $X_\alpha(t)$, $t \in [a,b]$, *is asymptotically tight in* $\mathbb{R}$ *and*

(v) *There exists a semi-metric* ρ *on* S_2 *such that* $([a,b],\rho)$ *is totally bounded and such that for any* $\varepsilon > 0$, *and for any* $\eta > 0$,

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0}\limsup_\alpha \mathbb{P}^*(\sup_{\rho(s,t)<\delta}|X_\alpha(s)-X_\alpha(t)|>\eta)=0. \tag{1.2}$$

We make comments on these theorems in the next section where we state the problem and propose a solution.

2. The Result

We observe that when $\rho(s,t) = |s-t|$, $([a,b],\rho)$ is totally bounded and (1.1) and (1.2) coincide under the assumption of $a.s.$ continuity of the X_α. Thus, it is natural to know whether Theorem 1.3 has an anlogue in S_2. Indeed, we have

Theorem 2.1. *Let the two assertions hold.*

(vi) *Each margin $X_\alpha(t)$, $t \in [a,b]$, is asymptotically tight in $\mathbb{R}$.*

(vi) *For $s \in [a,b]$, for any $\eta > 0$,*

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0} \sup_{s\in[0,1]} \limsup_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\delta}\mathbb{P}^*(\sup_{s-\delta<t<s+\delta, t\in[a,b]} |X_\alpha(s) - X_\alpha(t)| > \eta) = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Then the field $(X_\alpha)_{\alpha\in D}$ is asymptotically tight.

Proof. Suppose that (vi) and (vi) hold. Let $0 < \delta < (b-a)$ and $\eta > 0$. Put

$$A_t = \{z : \sup_{t\le s\le t+\delta} |z(s) - z(t)| > \eta)$$

The intervals $I_i = [a+i\delta, a+(i+1)\delta]$ make a partition of $[a,b]$. Consider a $z \in S_2$ such that

$$\forall i \le \frac{b-a}{\delta}, z \notin A_{t_i} \tag{2.2}$$

where $t_i = a + i\delta \le b$. Let $(s,t) \in [a,b]$ such that $|s-t| < \delta$. Then either s and t lie in the same interval I_i or lie in adjacent ones. In the latter case, put $t \in I_i$ et $s \in I_{i+1}$, where we suppose that $t \le s$. We have $t_i = a + i\delta$ and

$$(2.2) \Rightarrow |z(s) - z(t)| \le |z(s) - z(t_i)| + |z(t_i) - z(t_{i+1})| + |z(t_{i+1}) - z(t)| < 3\eta.$$

This implies.

$$\sup_{|s-t|<\delta} |z(s) - z(t)| \le 2\eta.$$

We get that

$$z \in \{z : \sup_{|s-t|<\delta} |z(s) - z(t)| \ge 3\eta).$$

implies that there exists an indice i such that

$$z \in A_{t_i}.$$

Then

$$\{z : \sup_{|s-t|<\delta} |z(s) - z(t)| \ge 3\eta) \subset \bigcup_i A_{t_i}.$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{P}^*(X_\alpha \in \{z : \sup_{|s-t|<\delta} |z(s)-z(t)| \geq 3\eta\}) \leq \sum_{i\leq (b-a)/\delta} \mathbb{P}^*(X_\alpha \in A_{t_i}).$$

Thus

$$\mathbb{P}^*(\sup_{|s-t|<\delta} |X_\alpha(s)-X_\alpha(t)| \geq 3\eta) \leq \sum_{i\leq (b-a)/\delta} \mathbb{P}^*(\sup_{t_i\leq s\leq t_i+\delta} |X_\alpha(s)-X_\alpha(t)| > \eta).$$

Apply (2.1) with 3η to get

$$\limsup_\alpha \mathbb{P}^*(\sup_{|s-t|<\delta} |X_\alpha(s)-X_\alpha(t)| \geq 3\eta)$$

$$\limsup_\alpha \leq \delta \sum_{i\leq (b-a)/\delta} \delta^{-1}\mathbb{P}^*(\sup_{t_i\leq s\leq t_i+\delta} |X_\alpha(s)-X_\alpha(t)| > 3\eta)$$

$$\leq \delta([\frac{b-a}{\delta}]+1) \limsup_\alpha \max_{i\leq (b-a)/\delta} \left(\delta^{-1}\mathbb{P}^*(\sup_{t_i\leq s\leq t_i+\delta} |X_\alpha(s)-X_\alpha(t)| > 3\eta)\right).$$

It comes that

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0} \limsup_\alpha \mathbb{P}^*(\sup_{|s-t|<\delta} |X_\alpha(s)-X_\alpha(t)| \geq 3\eta) = 0.$$

The proof is completed now.

References

[1] Billingsley, Patrick.(1968). *Convergence of Probability measures*. John Wiley, New-York.
[2] van der Vaart A.W and Wellner J.A.(1996). *Weak Convergence and Empirical Processes With Applications to Statistics*. Springer, New-York.